ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫНЫҢ Satbayev University

ХАБАРЛАРЫ

ИЗВЕСТИЯ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН Satbayev University

NEWS

OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN Satbayev University

SERIES OF GEOLOGY AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES

1 (445)

JANUARY – FEBRUARY 2021

THE JOURNAL WAS FOUNDED IN 1940

PUBLISHED 6 TIMES A YEAR



NAS RK is pleased to announce that News of NAS RK. Series of geology and technical sciences scientific journal has been accepted for indexing in the Emerging Sources Citation Index, a new edition of Web of Science. Content in this index is under consideration by Clarivate Analytics to be accepted in the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index, and the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. The quality and depth of content Web of Science offers to researchers, authors, publishers, and institutions sets it apart from other research databases. The inclusion of News of NAS RK. Series of geology and technical sciences in the Emerging Sources Citation Index demonstrates our dedication to providing the most relevant and influential content of geology and engineering sciences to our community.

Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясы "ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы" ғылыми журналының Web of Science-тің жаңаланған нұсқасы Етеrging Sources Citation Index-те индекстелуге қабылданғанын хабарлайды. Бұл индекстелу барысында Clarivate Analytics компаниясы журналды одан әрі the Science Citation Index Ехрапдед, the Social Sciences Citation Index және the Arts & Humanities Citation Index-ке қабылдау мәселесін қарастыруда. Webof Science зерттеушілер, авторлар, баспашылар мен мекемелерге контент тереңдігі мен сапасын ұсынады. ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы Етегдіпд Sources Citation Index-ке енуі біздің қоғамдастық үшін ең өзекті және беделді геология және техникалық ғылымдар бойынша контентке адалдығымызды білдіреді.

НАН РК сообщает, что научный журнал «Известия НАН РК. Серия геологии и технических наук» был принят для индексирования в Emerging Sources Citation Index, обновленной версии Web of Science. Содержание в этом индексировании находится в стадии рассмотрения компанией Clarivate Analytics для дальнейшего принятия журнала в the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index и the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. Web of Science предлагает качество и глубину контента для исследователей, авторов, издателей и учреждений. Включение Известия НАН РК. Серия геологии и технических наук в Emerging Sources Citation Index демонстрирует нашу приверженность к наиболее актуальному и влиятельному контенту по геологии и техническим наукам для нашего сообщества.

Бас редакторы

э. ғ. д., профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі

И.К. Бейсембетов

Бас редакторының орынбасары

Жолтаев Г.Ж. проф., геол.-мин. ғ. докторы

Редакция алкасы:

Абаканов Т.Д. проф. (Қазақстан)

Абишева З.С. проф., академик (Қазақстан)

Абсадыков Б.Н. проф., корр.-мүшесі (Қазақстан)

Агабеков В.Е. академик (Беларусь)

Алиев Т. проф., академик (Әзірбайжан)

Бакиров А.Б. проф., (Қырғызстан)

Буктуков Н.С. проф., академик (Қазақстан)

Булат А.Ф. проф., академик (Украина)

Ганиев И.Н. проф., академик (Тәжікстан)

Грэвис Р.М. проф. (АҚШ)

Жарменов А.А. проф., академик (Қазақстан)

Конторович А.Э. проф., академик (Ресей)

Курскеев А.К. проф., академик (Қазақстан)

Курчавов А.М. проф., (Ресей)

Медеу А.Р. проф., академик (Қазақстан)

Оздоев С.М. проф., академик (Қазақстан)

Постолатий В. проф., академик (Молдова)

Степанец В.Г. проф., (Германия) Штейнер М. проф. (Германия)

«ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы».

ISSN 2518-170X (Online), ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Меншіктенуші: «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» РҚБ (Алматы қ.).

Қазақстан Республикасының Ақпарат және қоғамдық даму министрлігінің Ақпарат комитетінде 29.07.2020 ж. берілген № **KZ39VPY00025420** мерзімдік басылым тіркеуіне қойылу туралы куәлік.

Тақырыптық бағыты: *геология және техникалық ғылымдар бойынша мақалалар* жариялау.

Мерзімділігі: жылына 6 рет.

Тиражы: 300 дана.

Редакцияның мекенжайы: 050010, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28, 219, 220 бөл.,

тел.: 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/

© Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы, 2021

Типографияның мекенжайы: «NurNaz GRACE», Алматы қ., Рысқұлов көш., 103.

____ 3 ____

Главный редактор

д. э. н., профессор, академик НАН РК

И. К. Бейсембетов

Заместитель главного редактора

Жолтаев Г.Ж. проф., доктор геол.-мин. наук

Редакционная коллегия:

Абаканов Т.Д. проф. (Казахстан)

Абишева З.С. проф., академик (Казахстан)

Абсадыков Б.Н. проф., чл.-корр. (Казахстан)

Агабеков В.Е. академик (Беларусь)

Алиев Т. проф., академик (Азербайджан)

Бакиров А.Б. проф., (Кыргызстан)

Буктуков Н.С. проф., академик (Казахстан)

Булат А.Ф. проф., академик (Украина)

Ганиев И.Н. проф., академик (Таджикистан)

Грэвис Р.М. проф. (США)

Жарменов А.А. проф., академик (Казахстан)

Конторович А.Э. проф., академик (Россия)

Курскеев А.К. проф., академик (Казахстан)

Курчавов А.М. проф., (Россия)

Медеу А.Р. проф., академик (Казахстан)

Оздоев С.М. проф., академик (Казахстан)

Постолатий В. проф., академик (Молдова)

Степанец В.Г. проф., (Германия)

Штейнер М. проф. (Германия)

«Известия НАН РК. Серия геологии и технических наук».

ISSN 2518-170X (Online), ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Собственник: Республиканское общественное объединение «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан (г. Алматы).

Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации Министерства информации и общественного развития Республики Казахстан № **KZ39VPY00025420**, выданное 29.07.2020 г.

Тематическая направленность: публикация статей по геологии и технических наукам.

Периодичность: 6 раз в год. Тираж: 300 экземпляров.

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, ком. 219, 220, тел.: 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/

© Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2021

Адрес типографии: «NurNaz GRACE», г. Алматы, ул. Рыскулова, 103.

____ 4 ____

Editor in chief

doctor of Economics, professor, academician of NAS RK

I. K. Beisembetov

Deputy editor in chief

Zholtayev G.Zh. prof., dr. geol-min. sc.

Editorial board:

Abakanov T.D. prof. (Kazakhstan)

Abisheva Z.S. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)

Absadykov B.N. prof., corr. member. (Kazakhstan)

Agabekov V.Ye. academician (Belarus)

Aliyev T. prof., academician (Azerbaijan)

Bakirov A.B. prof., (Kyrgyzstan)

Buktukov N.S. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)

Bulat A.F. prof., academician (Ukraine)

Ganiyev I.N. prof., academician (Tadjikistan)

Gravis R.M. prof. (USA)

Zharmenov A.A. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)

Kontorovich A.Ye. prof., academician (Russia)

Kurskeyev A.K. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)

Kurchavov A.M. prof., (Russia)

Medeu A.R. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)

Ozdoyev S.M. prof., academician (Kazakhstan)

Postolatii V. prof., academician (Moldova)

Stepanets V.G. prof., (Germany)

Steiner M. prof. (Germany)

News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of geology and technology sciences.

ISSN 2518-170X (Online), ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Owner: RPA "National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan" (Almaty).

The certificate of registration of a periodical printed publication in the Committee of information of the Ministry of Information and Social Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan No. KZ39VPY00025420, issued 29.07.2020.

Thematic scope: publication of papers on geology and technical sciences.

Periodicity: 6 times a year. Circulation: 300 copies.

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of. 219, 220, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19, 272-13-18,

http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2021

Address of printing house: «NurNaz GRACE», 103, Ryskulov str, Almaty.

NEWS

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN SERIES OF GEOLOGY AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES

ISSN 2224-5278

Volume 1, Number 445 (2021), 106 – 113

https://doi.org/10.32014/2021.2518-170X.15

UDC 577.4:628.8

A. T. Kozykeyeva¹, Zh. S. Mustafayev¹, L. V. Kireicheva², S. D. Dauletbay³

¹Kazakh National Agrarian University, Almaty, Kazakhstan;
 ²FSBSI All-«Russian Scientific Research Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and Melioration named after A.N. Kostyakova», Moscow, Russia;
 ³Taraz State University named after M. Kh. Dulati, Taraz, Kazakhstan. E-mail: aliya.kt@yandex.ru

ECOLOGICAL PROFILE OF DRAINAGE IN THE SHU RIVER BASIN UNDER ANTHROPOGENIC ACTIVITY

Abstract. Based on the study, many years of information and analytical materials «Kyrgyzhydromet» and «Kazhydromet», as well as the Department of State Statistics of the Kyrgyz Republic and the Republic of Kazakhstan, characterizing the formation of water resources and their use in the economic sectors of the catchment area of the Shu River basin, were considered as a model of the "activity-natural system", which performs runoff, environment-forming, ecological and social functions and is the spatial basis of nature management for a comprehensive assessment of the state of the territory and water bodies. As a basis for assessing the main functions of the catchment area of the Shu River basin, a geosystem approach was used, which determines the scientific and practical feasibility of geomorphological schematization, within the framework of which it becomes possible to construct their ecological profile on a spatio-temporal scale, which makes it possible to establish trends in the development of cognitive and transformative activities that determine the direction and intensity of anthropogenic activity. in the region. The main natural function of the river basin of the formation of a hydrogeochemical flow, which has one object for its discharge, determines the qualitative state of the ecological profile of the catchment area of the Shu River basin, that is, depending on the zonation from the mountainous class of landscapes to the lowland class of landscapes (superaquial and subaquial facies), natural hydrological facies are gradually disrupted. rhythms, affects the hydrogeological and soil-reclamation processes and environmental conditions, especially in the lower reaches of river basins, which are zones of storage of return (collector-drainage and waste) waters of industrial and agricultural facilities.

Key words: river, catchment, basin, runoff, system, model, function, activity, transformation, cognition, ecology, profile, schematization, analysis, assessment.

Introduction. The historical development of mankind in the 15th-18th centuries, if it directly depended on the power of Mother Nature, then, starting from the 19th century, the well-being of the natural system largely depends on human activity in the system of nature management and environmental management. Over the course of two millennia, history has experienced many situations, on the successful completion of which the ecological well-being and development of mankind on planet Earth depended. However, despite the fact that society is still increasing the pace of satisfying consumer needs at the expense of the natural environment, and nature itself serves as the resource and material-spatial basis of society, until now society does not remember a natural phenomenon, which is a global threshold process, beyond which progress may turn out to be not only difficult, but generally impossible.

But society, in certain geographic regions of the planet, today faced many trials, that at the beginning of the new millennium, humanity came aggravated by the problems of survival, since the driving force in the XXI century was human needs, which were formed under the influence of the consumer spectrum of values and the apparent availability of natural resources in various areas of production.

Thus, one of the primary goals of ecology is the formation of ecological consciousness and the implementation of human ecological thinking in solving scientific and practical problems in the field of nature management, and the related arrangement of the catchment area of river basins.

The purpose of research - on the basis of the analysis of the environment-forming and economic functions of the river basins and which are the spatial basis for the use of natural resources, to construct the ecological profile of the catchment area of the Shu river basin in conditions of anthropogenic activity.

Object of study - the Shu river, which originates in the Teskey-Ala-Too glaciers and the Kyrgyz ridge. The Shu River flows through the territories of Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan. The length of the river is 1186 km, including 800 km within Kazakhstan. Drainage area - 67 500 km². The main tributaries: on the right – Chong-Kemin, Yrgayty, Kakpatas; on the left – Alamedin, Aksu, Kuragaty. In the lower reaches, the river flows through Kazakhstani territory, forming the northern border of the Moyynkum desert, dries up in the sands, only during floods, flowing into the closed-drainage salt lake Akzhaikyn among the vast salt marshes of the Ashchykol depression.

The geomorphological profile of the catchment area of the Shu river basin, built on the basis of the catenary approach, depending on the altitudinal zonality, is divided into zones: a mountainous class of landscapes (eluvial facies), a foothill subclass of landscapes (transeluvial facies), a foothill lowland subclass of landscapes (transaccumulative class of landscapes) and plains (superaquial and subaquial facies) (table 1) [1].

Natural and climatic zones			Weather station	The absolute height of the earth's surface,	Administrative regions	
landscape class	facies	moisture zones		m		
Mountainous	Eluvial	Wet mountain	Teo-Ashuu	3090,0	Kemen, Chui-Tokmasky (KR)	
Foothill	Transeluvial	Arid mountain	Baytik	1590,0	Chui-Tokmasky (KR), Issyk-Ata (KR)	
Foothill plain	Transaccumulative	Dry foothill	Kordai Bishkek	1145,0 756,0	Chui-Tokmasky (KR), Alamudunsky, Sokuluk, Moskovsky, Zhayilsky, Panfilovsky (KR) Korday (RK)	
Plain	Superaquial Very dry		Tole bi Moyinkum	456,0 351,0	Moyynkum, Sarysusky (RK)	
	Subaquial		Ulanbel	266,0	Sarysusky (RK)	

Table 1 – Geomorphological schematization of landscape catenas of the catchment area of the Shu river basin

At the same time, the catchment area of the Shu river basin, as a model of an «activity-natural system», including four categories: activity (A), natural material (NM), transformation of material (TM) and the formation of anthropogenic material (FAM), performing runoff, environment-forming, ecological and social functions, which are the spatial bases of nature management, are considered within the framework of cognitive and transformative activities [2,3].

Materials and research methods. On the basis of the study, many years of information and analytical materials «Kyrgyzhydromet» and «Kazhydromet», as well as the Department of State Statistics of the Kyrgyz Republic and the Republic of Kazakhstan, characterizing the formation of water resources and their use for economic sectors in the catchments of the river basin [1].

A quantitative assessment of the ecological situation in the catchment area of river basins can be made as follows, first, one considers the natural environment at the regional or local level, zoning by type of activity, which does not significantly change in the space-time scale $t_i \rightarrow t_0$ (where t_i - past period; t_0 - modern period), Activity parameters \overline{D}_i - are expressed as shares of the total volume of natural resources that were influenced by various factors (Φ_i), Within the limits of each anthropogenic activity, the reduced coefficients of negative reaction for a person are estimated - $\overline{NR} = NR_i/NR_{\text{max}}$ and for its habitat - $\overline{nr} = nr_i/nr_{\text{max}}$ [2-5],

The quantities \overline{NR} and \overline{nr} range from 0 to 1, moreover, an increase in the coefficients indicates a worsening of the situation,

Approximate dependencies for assessing the impact of anthropogenic activity have the form [2,3]:

- for human
$$\overline{NR} = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ \sum \overline{D}_i \cdot q_x \end{pmatrix}_1^i \varepsilon_i(k)$$
- for human
$$\overline{nr} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{D}_{\theta\theta} \\ \overline{D}_{p\theta} \end{pmatrix} + q_x \begin{pmatrix} i \\ \sum \overline{D}_{\theta} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \beta \cdot \varepsilon_i(k)$$
- for its habitat

where \overline{D}_i - degree of contamination of drinking water with pesticides for supplying the population; $\overline{D}_{\rm BB}$ - level of use for irrigation of river waters; $\overline{D}_{\rm pB}$ - the level of use of return water for irrigation; ε_i - particular parameters of the deterioration of the properties of the components of the natural system (for humans, this is the dynamics of diseases associated with the consumption of polluted water and air pollution - $\varepsilon_i(r)$, for soil, plants and crops - the content of toxic salts in the soil, for groundwater - an increase in their mineralization and level - $\varepsilon_i(k)$); β - correction factor (for soil and groundwater $\beta=1$, for crops $\beta>1$); q_x - the intensity of the intake of pesticides and nitrates into soils and groundwater,

Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into groundwater $(q_x^{\text{\tiny FB}})$ and into the soil (q_x^n) are evaluated by empirical dependencies [1-3,7]:

$$q_x^{2\beta} = 1 - q_x^n \cdot q_x^n = \exp[-(\alpha \cdot q_w + 1 - R_{\phi})]$$

where α - constant, depending on the type of pesticide; q_w - intensity of infiltration nutrition (in shares of the norm); R_{ϕ} - infiltration resistance, which is determined by the formula: $R_{\phi} = 1/fm$, here fm - the relative area occupied by soils with low soil thickness (or fine earth),

An approximate assessment of the ecological state of the object can be carried out using the available studies [83], by dependencies:

$$\overline{\partial} = 1 - q_{\chi}^{n} = 1 - \exp[-(\alpha \cdot q_{W} + p_{i})]$$

where p_i - parameter characterizing a complex of natural conditions.

Thus, the proposed methodological approach for constructing the ecological profile of the catchment area of the Shu river basin in the context of anthropogenic activity allows three main aspects in the field of nature management and environmental management: the ecological and economic function of river basins associated with the outflow and degradation of renewable natural resources; ecological and biological function of river basins, caused by the destabilization of the biological species Hono-Sapience as a result of the growth of anthropogenic impact and changes in the state of the natural environment; the social function of river basins, which is caused by the contradictions between the global (regional) manifestation of pollution and degradation of the natural environment and a private approach to their solution [6-8].

Research results. On the basis of systematization and analysis of long-term information and analytical materials «Kyrgyzhydromet» and «Kazhydromet», as well as the Department of State Statistics of the Kyrgyz Republic and the Republic of Kazakhstan, characterizing the formation of water resources and their use for economic sectors [1], an idea of the «activity-natural system» was obtained the catchment area of the Shu river basin, to build their ecological profile in the conditions of anthropogenic activity (table 2).

Natural areas and landscape catena $N_{\underline{0}}$ Indicators mountain Foothill Foothill plain plain (eluvial) (trans eluvial) (trans-aqual) (super aquatic) 1 3 4 5 0 Landscape area (F), mln, ha 15,00 20,80 25,78 138,78 Under natural conditions, (1920 y.) 1 Agricultural landscape area (F_O) , thousands ha. 2,57 2,08 13,88 Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R} 2 0,52-1,16 1,16-1,61 1,70-4,80 7,10-12,6 The intensity of the water cycle ($\bar{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot$ 3 0,2837 0,2516 0,0388 0,0001

Table 2 – Ecological zoning of the Shu river basin

1 The intensity of the intake of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_1^2)					Contina	uation of table 2	
4 The intensity of the intake of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q^2) 1. Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q^2) 1. Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q^2) 1. The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to anomal manimate impacts (NR) 1. O,0000 0,0000 0,0506 0,0113 0,0067 1. The coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (NR) 1. O,0000 0,0000 0,0506 0,0113 0,0067 1. The coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (NR) 1. O,0000 0,0000 0,0115 0,0224 0. O,0010 0,0048 1. The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_k) , kear 1. O,0000 0,000 0,000 0,0115 0,0327 river (W_k) , kear 2. O,0000 0,000 0,0115 0,0327 river (W_k) , kear 3. O,0000 0,000 0,000 0,0115 0,0327 river (W_k) , kear 4. O,0000 0,000 0,000 0,0115 0,0327 river (W_k) , kear 4. O,0000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,002 0,001 1,000 1,501 1. O,0000 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,000 0,001 0,000 0,00	1	2	3	4	ı	1	
5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_s^*) 0,4674 0,3622 0,0861 0,0488 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (N_B^*) 0,0000 0,0506 0,0113 0,0007 7 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (m_T^*) 0,0000 0,1275 0,2284 0,2378 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object—and the environment to technogenic impact (m_T^*) 0,000 0,000 0,0115 0,3227 10 River water volume (W_0), km³ 0,851 1,328 1,151 1,637 11 Mineralization of return waters (C_0), g /1 0,00 0,00 1,00 1,50 12 Degree of environmental degradation (g = 1 - exp ($-q_s^*$ + C_s^* - C_s^* - C_s^*) 0,00 0,00 0,01 0,02 2 Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R} 0,52-1,16 1,10-1,30 238,0 60,00 2 Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R} 0,53-1,16 1,10-1,30 0,70-0,90 0,65-0,70 3 The intensity of the water cycle (g = exp ($-1.5 \cdot R$) 0,2837	4						
man-made impacts (NR) 0,0000 0,0300 0,0300 7 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (mr) 0,0000 0,1275 0,2284 0,2378 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object $3 = 1 - q_s^2$ 0,08100 0,0488 9 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W _s), sw² 0,000 0,000 0,115 0,327 10 River water volume (W _p), km² 0,851 1,328 1,151 1,637 11 Mineralization of return waters (C _a)g / 1 0,00 0,00 1,00 1,00 12 Degree of environmental degradation 0,00 0,00 0,01 1,00 12 Agricultural landscape area (F _p), thousands, ha - 10,0 238,0 60,00 2 Hydrothermal coefficient - R 0,52-1,16 1,10-1,30 0,70-0,90 0,65-0,70 3 The intensity of the water cycle (g = exp (-1.5 · R)) 0,2837 0,1653 0,2725 0,3642 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q²) 1,115 1,10-1,30	5	Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^r)	0,4674	0,3622	0,0861	0,0488	
8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object $3 = 1 - q_1^2$ 0,0000 0,000 0,0115 0,327 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W _b), km² 0,851 1,328 1,151 1,637 110 River water volume (W _p), km² 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 1,00 1,50 1,50	6	man-made impacts (\overline{NR})	0,0000	0,0506	0,0113	0,0067	
3 = 1 − q_1^2 0.4074 0.5022 0.08100 0.0888 9 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W ₀), xa3² 0.000 0.000 0.115 0.327 10 River water volume (W _p), km³ 0.851 1.328 1.151 1.637 11 Mineralization of return waters (C _p) _g /1 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 2 Degree of environmental degradation (3 = 1 − exp (− q_1^2 · C _a · V _a) 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 2 Agricultural landscape area (F _o), thousands, ha - 10.0 238.0 60.00 2 Hydrothermal coefficient · \bar{R} 0.52-1,16 1.10-1,30 0.70-0.90 0.65-0,70 3 The intensity of the water cycle (\bar{g} = exp (−1.5 · \bar{R}) 0.2837 0.1653 0.2725 0.3642 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (\bar{q}_1^2) 1.06637 0.6977 0.7261 0.6637 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (\bar{q}_1) 0.4674 0.3023 0.2739 0.3363 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\bar{R}_1^2) 0.0000 0.0560 0.0608 0.0995 <td>7</td> <td>environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr})</td> <td>0,0000</td> <td>0,1275</td> <td>0,2284</td> <td>0,2378</td>	7	environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr})	0,0000	0,1275	0,2284	0,2378	
10 River water volume (W_p), km ³ 0,000 0,000 0,115 0,327 10 River water volume (W_p), km ³ 0,851 1,328 1,151 1,637 11 Mineralization of return waters (C_a), $g/1$ 0,00 0,00 0,00 1,00 12 Degree of environmental degradation 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,01 0,02 13 Agricultural landscape area (F_o), thousands, ha - 10,0 238,0 60,00 14 Agricultural landscape area (F_o), thousands, ha - 10,0 238,0 0,70-0,90 0,65-0,70 15 Agricultural landscape area (F_o), thousands, ha - 10,0 238,0 0,70-0,90 0,65-0,70 16 The intensity of the water cycle 0,2837 0,1653 0,2725 0,3642 17 $(g = \exp(-1.5 \cdot R) - 1.5 \cdot R) 0,352-1,16 1,10-1,30 0,70-0,90 0,65-0,70 18 Altensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (g^2) 6,6977 0,7261 0,6637 19 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (N^2R) 0,4674 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 19 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (N^2R) 0,0000 0,1744 0,2178 0,2655 20 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - 0,4674 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 21 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_o), km3 1,148 2,264 2,923 4,378 10 River water volume (W_p), km3 1,148 2,264 2,923 4,378 11 Return water salinity (C_b), g^{11} 0,000 0,030 0,260 0,050 12 Degree of environmental degradation 0,000 0,030 0,260 0,050 13 Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands, ha 20,0 343,0 80,0 14 Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands, ha 20,0 343,0 80,0 17 Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands, ha 20,0 343,0 80,0 18 Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands, ha 20,0 343,0 80,0 19 Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands, ha 20,0 343,0 3,499 10 Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands, ha 20,0 0,250 0,2$	8	$\overline{\vartheta} = 1 - q_x^n$	0,4674	0,3622	0,08100	0,0488	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	river $(W_{\rm B})$, $\kappa {\rm M}^3$	0,000	0,000	0,115	0,327	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	River water volume (W_p) , km ³	0,851	1,328	1,151	1,637	
12 $\overline{3}$ = 1 − exp ($-q_x^2$ · C_x · V_y) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 At the beginning of anthropogenic activity (1960 y.) 1 Agricultural landscape area (F_0), thousands. ha - 10,0 238,0 60,00 2 Hydrothermal coefficient · \bar{R} 0,52-1,16 1,10-1,30 0,70-0,90 0,65-0,70 3 The intensity of the water cycle ($g = \exp(-1.5 \cdot R)$) 0,2837 0,1653 0,2725 0,3642 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^2) 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^2) 0,4674 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (MR) 0,0000 0,0560 0,0608 0,0995 7 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{RP}) 0,0000 0,1744 0,2178 0,2655 8 3 = 1 - q_1^n 0,000 0,0144 0,2178 0,3633 9 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_b), km³ <	11	Mineralization of return waters $(C_{\rm B})$, $g/1$	0,00	0,00	1,00	1,50	
At the beginning of anthropogenic activity (1960 y.) Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands. ha - 10.0 238,0 60,00 Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R} 0,52-1,16 1,10-1,30 0,70-0,90 0,65-0,70 The intensity of the water cycle ($\bar{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \bar{R})$) 0,2837 0,1653 0,2725 0,3642 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (g_D^2) 0,7261 0,6637 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (g_D^2) 0,2526 0,6977 0,7261 0,6637 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (g_D^2) 0,3636 0,0000 0,0560 0,0608 0,0995 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts ($N\bar{R}$) 0,0000 0,1744 0,2178 0,2655 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact ($N\bar{R}$) 0,4674 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_D), km³ 1,148 2,264 2,923 4,378 Return water salinity (C_D), g^2 0,000 0,095 0,560 0,120 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_D), km³ 1,148 2,264 2,923 4,378 Return water salinity (C_D), g^2 0,000 0,030 0,260 0,050 Degree of environmental degradation 0,000 0,030 0,260 0,050 Agricultural landscape area (F_O), thousands, ha 20,0 343,0 80,0 Hydrothermal coefficient - R 0,52-1,16 1,15-1,20 0,80-0,90 0,60-0,80 The intensity of the water cycle (g_D) expressed intensity of anthropogenic activity (1980 y.) Intensity of the mater explain the period of increased intensity of anthropogenic activity (R_D) 0,349 Intensity of the mater explain the period of increased intensity of anthropogenic activity (R_D) 0,60-0,80 The intensity of the water explain the period of increased intensity of anthropogenic activity (R_D) 0,60-0,80 The intensity of the mater cycle (R_D) 0,000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 Intensity of the mater cycle (R_D) 0,000 0,2260	12	Degree of environmental degradation	0,00	0,00	0,01	0,02	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
3 The intensity of the water cycle (g = exp (-1.5 · R) 0,2837 0,1653 0,2725 0,3642 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_n^2) 0,6637 0,6977 0,7261 0,6637 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_n^2) 0,4674 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,0560 0,0608 0,0995 7 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,1744 0,2178 0,2655 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - $3 = 1 - q_n^2$ 0,4674 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 9 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_n), km³ 0,000 0,095 0,560 0,120 10 River water volume (W_p), km³ 1,148 2,264 2,923 4,378 11 Return water salinity (C_n), g ! 0,00 1,00 2,20 2,50 12 Degree of environmental degradation g (g = exp (g - exp (g -	1	Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha	-	10,0	238,0	60,00	
Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n) 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,6637 0,7261 0,7279 0,3363 0,2739 0,2739 0,3363 0,2739	2	Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R}	0,52-1,16	1,10-1,30	0,70-0,90	0,65-0,70	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3		0,2837	0,1653	0,2725	0,3642	
groundwater (q_k^x) 0,363 0,273 0,253 0,2739 0,3503 1 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (NR) 0,000 0,000 0,0560 0,0608 0,0995 1 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (NR) 0,000 0,1744 0,2178 0,2655 1 Assessment of the ecological state of the object $\frac{1}{3} = 1 - q_k^x$ 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 $\frac{1}{3} = 1 - q_k^x$ 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 $\frac{1}{3} = 1 - q_k^x$ 0,4674 0,3023 0,2739 0,3363 $\frac{1}{3} = 1 - q_k^x$ 0,500 0,000 0,095 0,560 0,120 0,000 0,095 0,560 0,120 0,000 0,095 0,560 0,120 0,000 0,	4	Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n)	0,5326	0,6977	0,7261	0,6637	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	groundwater (q_x^{Γ})	0,4674	0,3023	0,2739	0,3363	
8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - $\frac{1}{3} = 1 - q_x^n$	6	man-made impacts (\overline{NR})	0,0000	0,0560	0,0608	0,0995	
The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_p) , km ³	7	environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr})	0,0000	0,1744	0,2178	0,2655	
9 river (W_B) , km³ 0,000 0,095 0,360 0,120 10 River water volume (W_p) , km³ 1,148 2,264 2,923 4,378 11 Return water salinity (C_B) , g/I 0,00 1,00 2,20 2,50 12 Degree of environmental degradation $(\overline{g} = 1 - \exp(-q_x^n \cdot C_B \cdot V_B))$ 0,000 0,030 0,260 0,050 1 Agricultural landscape area (F_O) , thousands. ha 20,0 343,0 80,0 2 Hydrothermal coefficient $-\overline{R}$ 0,52-1,16 1,15-1,20 0,80-0,90 0,60-0,80 3 The intensity of the water cycle $(\overline{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \overline{R})$ 0,2837 0,1720 0,2780 0,3499 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n) 0,5326 0,6907 0,7189 0,6703 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^T) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 7 Coefficie	8	$\overline{\vartheta} = 1 - q_x^n$	0,4674	0,3023	0,2739	0,3363	
11Return water salinity (C_B) , g/I $0,00$ $1,00$ $2,20$ $2,50$ 12Degree of environmental degradation $(\bar{\beta} = 1 - \exp(-q_x^n \cdot C_B \cdot V_B))$ $0,000$ $0,030$ $0,260$ $0,050$ During the period of increased intensity of anthropogenic activity (1980 y.)1Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha $20,0$ $343,0$ $80,0$ 2Hydrothermal coefficient $-\bar{R}$ $0,52-1,16$ $1,15-1,20$ $0,80-0,90$ $0,60-0,80$ 3The intensity of the water cycle $(\bar{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \bar{R}))$ $0,2837$ $0,1720$ $0,2780$ $0,3499$ 4Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^R) $0,5326$ $0,6907$ $0,7189$ $0,6703$ 5Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^T) $0,4674$ $0,3093$ $0,2811$ $0,3297$ 6The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (NR) $0,0000$ $0,2260$ $0,2446$ $0,3155$ 7Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (NR) $0,0000$ $0,2210$ $0,3594$ $0,4357$ 8Assessment of the ecological state of the object $-\frac{1}{3} = 1 - q_x^n$ $0,4674$ $0,3093$ $0,2811$ $0,3297$ 9The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km³ $0,000$ $0,200$ $0,200$ $0,950$ $0,250$	9	river $(W_{\rm B})$, km ³	0,000	0,095	0,560	0,120	
Degree of environmental degradation $(\overline{\Im} = 1 - \exp(-q_x^n \cdot C_B \cdot V_B))$ 0,000 0,030 0,260 0,050 0,050 During the period of increased intensity of anthropogenic activity (1980 y.) 1 Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha 20,0 343,0 80,0 0,060-0,80 2 Hydrothermal coefficient - \overline{R} 0,52-1,16 1,15-1,20 0,80-0,90 0,60-0,80 3 The intensity of the water cycle $(\overline{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \overline{R}))$ 0,2837 0,1720 0,2780 0,3499 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n) 0,7189 0,6703 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^r) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 7 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,0000 0,2210 0,3594 0,4357 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - $\overline{\Im} = 1 - q_x^n$ 0,000 0,200 0,950 0,250	10	River water volume (W_p) , km ³	1,148	2,264	2,923	4,378	
During the period of increased intensity of anthropogenic activity (1980 y.) 1 Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha 2 Upono 343,0 80,0 2 Hydrothermal coefficient $-\bar{R}$ 0,52-1,16 1,15-1,20 0,80-0,90 0,60-0,80 3 The intensity of the water cycle $(\bar{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \bar{R}))$ 0,2837 0,1720 0,2780 0,3499 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^n) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 7 Coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts $(\bar{N}\bar{R})$ 0,0000 0,2210 0,3594 0,4357 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - (\bar{R}) 0,000 0,200 0,950 0,250	11	Return water salinity (C_B) , g/l	0,00	1,00	2,20	2,50	
1 Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha 2 20,0 343,0 80,0 2 Hydrothermal coefficient - \overline{R} 0,52-1,16 1,15-1,20 0,80-0,90 0,60-0,80 3 The intensity of the water cycle $(\overline{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \overline{R}))$ 0,2837 0,1720 0,2780 0,3499 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n) 0,5326 0,6907 0,7189 0,6703 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^n) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 7 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object $\overline{3} = 1 - q_x^n$ 1 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³ 0,5297 0,250	12	$(\overline{\partial} = 1 - \exp(-q_x^n \cdot C_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \cdot V_{\scriptscriptstyle B}))$	·	·	·	0,050	
2 Hydrothermal coefficient - \overline{R} 0,52-1,16 1,15-1,20 0,80-0,90 0,60-0,80 3 The intensity of the water cycle $(\overline{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \overline{R})$ 0,2837 0,1720 0,2780 0,3499 4 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n) 0,5326 0,6907 0,7189 0,6703 5 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^n) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 6 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 7 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,0000 0,2210 0,3594 0,4357 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object $\overline{g} = 1 - q_x^n$ 0,000 0,200 0,200 0,950 0,250		During the period of increased in	ntensity of anthr	opogenic activity (1980 y.)		
The intensity of the water cycle $(\overline{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \overline{R}))$ 0,2837 0,1720 0,2780 0,3499 Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n) 0,6703 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^r) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3594 0,4357 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - $\overline{g} = 1 - q_x^n$ 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³ 0,000 0,250 0,250	1	Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha		20,0	343,0	80,0	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R}	0,52-1,16	1,15-1,20	0,80-0,90	0,60-0,80	
soil (q_x^n) 0,3326 0,6907 0,7189 0,6003 1 Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^n) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 1 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 1 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,0000 0,2210 0,3594 0,4357 1 Sassessment of the ecological state of the object $\overline{g} = 1 - q_x^n$ 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 1 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³ 0,000 0,250 0,250	3	$(\bar{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \bar{R}))$	0,2837	0,1720	0,2780	0,3499	
groundwater (q_x^r) 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,0000 0,2260 0,2446 0,3155 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,0000 0,2210 0,3594 0,4357 Assessment of the ecological state of the object $\overline{g} = 1 - q_x^n$ 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³ 0,000 0,250	4	$\operatorname{soil}(q_x^n)$	0,5326	0,6907	0,7189	0,6703	
man-made impacts (\overline{NR}) 0,000 0,220 0,2446 0,3133 Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,000 0,2210 0,3594 0,4357 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - $\overline{\Im} = 1 - q_x^n$ 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³ 0,000 0,200 0,950 0,250	5	groundwater (q_x^r)	0,4674	0,3093	0,2811	0,3297	
environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr}) 0,000 0,2210 0,3394 0,4337 8 Assessment of the ecological state of the object - $\overline{\partial} = 1 - q_x^n$ 0,4674 0,3093 0,2811 0,3297 9 The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³ 0,000 0,200 0,950 0,250	6	man-made impacts (\overline{NR})	0,0000	0,2260	0,2446	0,3155	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr})	0,0000	0,2210	0,3594	0,4357	
river $(W_{\rm B})$, km ³ 0,000 0,200 0,930 0,230	8	$\overline{\vartheta} = 1 - q_x^n$	0,4674	0,3093	0,2811	0,3297	
10 River water volume (W_p) , km ³ 0,844 1,720 2,356 3,622	9		0,000	0,200	0,950	0,250	
	10	River water volume (W_p) , km ³	0,844	1,720	2,356	3,622	

Con	Continuation of table 2							
1	2	3	4	5	6			
11	Return water salinity (C_{B}) , g/l	0,00	1,50	2,80	3,20			
12	Degree of environmental degradation $(\overline{\partial} = 1 - \exp(-q_x^n \cdot C_B \cdot V_B))$	0,000	0,110	0,550	0,140			
During the formation of increased anthropogenic activity (2000 y.)								
1	Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha	-	15,0	292,0	60,0			
2	Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R}	0,52-1,16	1,10-1,15	0,80-0,90	0,70-0,90			
3	The intensity of the water cycle $(\bar{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \bar{R}))$	0,2837	0,2516	0,3570	0,4493			
4	Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n)	0,5326	0,6378	0,6703	0,6065			
5	Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^r)	0,4674	0,3622	0,3297	0,39350			
6	The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR})	0,0000	0,3151	0,3165	0,4013			
7	Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr})	0,0000	0,3827	0,53262	0,5155			
8	Assessment of the ecological state of the object $\overline{\vartheta} = 1 - q_x^n$	0,4674	0,36220	0,32970	0,39350			
9	The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³	0,000	0,089	0,600	0,105			
10	River water volume (W_p) , km ³	0,747	0,448	1,536	0,703			
11	Return water salinity (C_B), g/l	0,00	0,90	1,80	2,90			
12	Degree of environmental degradation $(\overline{\vartheta} = 1 - \exp(-q_x^n \cdot C_B \cdot V_B))$	0,160	0,110	0,370	0,230			
	During the formation of a very	increased anthro	ppogenic activity (2	020 y.)				
1	Agricultural landscape area (F_0) , thousands. ha	-	15,0	292,0	60,0			
2	Hydrothermal coefficient - \bar{R}	0,52-1,16	1,20-1,30	1,0-1,15	1,10-1,20			
3	The intensity of the water cycle $(\bar{g} = \exp(-1.5 \cdot \bar{R}))$	0,2837	0,1526	0,1999	0,1791			
4	Intensity of input of pesticides and nitrates into the soil (q_x^n)	0,5326	0,7047	0,7738	0,7945			
5	Intensity of chemicals and nitrates entering groundwater (q_x^r)	0,4674	0,2853	0,2262	0,2055			
6	The coefficient of a person's negative reaction to man-made impacts (\overline{NR})	0,0000	0,3007	0,3257	0,3124			
7	Coefficient of negative reaction of the environment to technogenic impact (\overline{nr})	0,1600	0,4228	0,5417	0,6753			
8	Assessment of the ecological state of the object $\overline{\vartheta} = 1 - q_x^n$	0,4674	0,2853	0,2262	0,2055			
9	The volume of wastewater discharged into the river (W_B) , km ³	0,000	0,150	0,700	0,200			
10	River water volume (W_p) , km ³	0,851	1,523	1,416	0,968			
11	Return water salinity (C _B), g/l	0,00	1,10	2,10	3,20			
12	Degree of environmental degradation $(\overline{\vartheta} = 1 - \exp(-q_x^n \cdot C_B \cdot V_B)$	0,000	0,070	0,550	0,460			

Based on the forecast calculation performed to assess the ecological situation in the catchment area of the Shu river basin on a spatial-temporal scale, it was concluded that for the period under consideration (1920-2020), the qualitative state of the ecological profile largely depends on the altitudinal zonation characterizing the class of landscapes in river basins:

- in the zone of the mountainous landscape class (eluvial facies), due to the absence of directed anthropogenic activity for the transformation and improvement of natural resources of the natural system, where changes in the components of the natural system occur under the influence of only natural processes, the preservation of their ecological stability and deterioration of the ecological situation are not

observed, which are supported by the peculiarity of the biological cycle, which are formed according to the type of accumulative balance, on the other hand, by the removal of a part of the accumulated substance during the biological active period of the year by geostocks (zone of natural regulation);

- in the zone of the foothill landscape class (transeluvial facies), insignificant anthropogenic activity for the transformation and arrangement of the natural system, where changes in the components of the natural system occur under the influence of not only natural, but also man-made processes, leads to some changes in the components of the natural system, which due to self-regulating their ability, provides natural environmental sustainability, however, some changes in the environmental situation are observed, that is, the indicator of the degree of deterioration of the environmental situation ranges from 0.00 to 0.110 (the zone of controlled and accounted for the consequences of anthropogenic activity)
- in the zone of the foothill lowland subclass of the landscape (trans-accumulative facies), which is the basis of spatial nature management, there is a high tendency of anthropogenic activity, large-scale changes occur, due to the transformation and nature management of the components of the natural system, for the economic and ecological functions of river basins, not only natural services are provided, but also technogenic services, leads not only to an increase in the intensity of biological as well as geological cycles of water and chemicals, and, therefore, the integrity of the natural system of river basins, which led to a deterioration of their ecological situation, where the indicator of the degree of deterioration of the ecological situation ranges from 0.01 to 0.550 (zone of uncontrolled, not considered consequences of anthropogenic activity);
- in the zone of the plain landscape class (superaquial and subaquial facies), which is the basis for spatial nature management and geochemical runoff, there is a growth rate of not only anthropogenic activity, but also technogenic loads, which are accompanied by negative consequences, always lead to a violation of the basic properties of the components of the natural system in the lower reaches river basins, that is, the indicator of the degree of deterioration of the ecological situation ranges from 0.02 to 0.460 (zone of controlled and accounted for the consequences of anthropogenic activity);

Conclusions. The functioning of the catchment area of the Shu River basin, as a geographic object that performs environment-forming, ecological and social functions and is the spatial basis of nature management in conditions of anthropogenic activity, was considered on the basis of systematization and analysis of long-term information and analytical materials «Kyrgyzhydromet» and «Kazhydromet», as well as statistics of the Kyrgyz Republic and the Republic of Kazakhstan, characterizing the formation of water resources and their use for economic sectors [], made it possible, within the framework of cognitive and transformative activities, to build their ecological profile on a spatial and temporal scale, which allows making a number of decisions at the state and interstate levels aimed to regulate relations in the spheres of water use, environmental, economic and social issues arising from the joint use of water resources of transboundary watercourses.

Ә. Т. Қозыкеева¹, Ж. С. Мұстафаев¹, Л. В. Кирейчева², С. Д. Даулетбай³

Қазақ ұлттық аграрлық университеті, Алматы, Қазақстан; ФМБҒМ «А.Н. Костяков атындағы Бүкілресейлік гидротехника және мелиорация ғылыми-зерттеу институты», Мәскеу, Ресей; М.Х. Дулати атындағы Тараз мемлекеттік университеті, Тараз, Қазақстан

АНТОРОПЕГЕНДІК ҚЫЗМЕТ ЖАҒДАЙЫНДА ШУ ӨЗЕНІНІҢ СУ ЖИНАУ АЛАБЫНЫҢ ЭКОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ БЕЙІНІ

Аннотация. Қырғыз Республикасының «Қырғызгидромет» және Қазақстан Республикасының «Қазгидромет», сонымен қатар Мемлекеттік статистика департаменттерінің Шу өзенінің су жинау алабындағы су ресурстарының қалыптасуын және оны экономика саласына пайдалануды сипаттайтын көпжылдық ақпараттық-талдау мәліметтерінің негізінде өзен алабының су ағыны мен ортасын құрушы, экологиялық, экономикалық қызметтерді атқаратын және табиғатты пайдаланудың кеңістік базисі саналатын «қызметтіктабиғи жүйе» үлгісі ретінде қарастыру арқылы аймақ жағдайына және су нысандарына кешенді бағалау жұмыстары қарастырылған. Шу өзенінің су жинау алабының негізгі қызметтерін бағалау үшін аймақтағы

антропогендік қызмет қарқынын және бағытын анықтайтын, танымдық және түрлендіру қызметінің даму беталысын айқындауға мүмкіндік беретін геожүйелік әдісін пайдалану арқылы геоморфологиялық жүйелеудің ғылыми және тәжірбелік мақсаттының негізінде, экологиялық бейнесін кеңістік-уақыт масштабында тұрғызу жолы негізделген. Өзен алабының негізгі қызметі – бір ғана жеңілдеу нысаны бар гидрогеохимиялық ағынды қалыптастыру, Шу өзенінің су жинау алабының экологиялық бейнесінің сапалық жағдайын анықтай отырып, яғни белдеулік зандылықтарға байланысты Ландшафттың таулы тобынан ландшафтардың жазықтық тобына (супераквиальдық және субаквиальдық фацияға) дейін, әсіресе, ауылшаруашылық және өндірістік нысандардың қайтарма (коллектор-кәріз) суын жинайтын аймақ болып саналатын өзен алабының төменгі саласында табиғи гидрологиялық тербеліс бұзылатындықтан, аймақтың гидрогеологиялық және топырақ-мелиоративтік үдерісіне және экологиялық жағдайына үлкен әсер тигізеді.

Түйін сөздер: өзен, су жинау алабы, ағын, жүйе, үлгі, функция, қызмет, түрлендіру, таным, экология, бейне, желілеу, талдау, бағалау.

А. Т. Козыкеева¹, Ж. С. Мустафаев¹, Л. В. Кирейчева², С. Д. Даулетбай³

¹Казахский национальный аграрный университет, Алматы, Казахстан; ²ФГБНУ «Всероссийский научно-исследовательский институт гидротехники и мелиорации им. А.Н. Костякова», Москва, Россия; ³Таразский государственный университет им. М. Х. Дулати, Тараз, Казахстан

ЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ ПРОФИЛЬ ВОДОСБОРА БАССЕЙНА РЕКИ ШУ В УСЛОВИЯХ АНТРОПОГЕННОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ

Аннотация. На основе проведенного исследования многолетние информационно-аналитические материалы «Кыргызгидромета» и «Казгидромета», а также Департамента государственной статистики Кыргызской Республики и Республики Казахстан, характеризующие формирование водных ресурсов и их использование в хозяйственных секторах водосборного бассейна реки Шу, были рассмотрены в качестве модели "деятельность-природная система", осуществляющей сток, природообразующую деятельность., эколого-социальные функции и является пространственной основой природопользования для комплексной оценки состояния территории и водных объектов. В качестве основы оценки основных функций водосбора бассейна реки Шу был использован геосистемный подход, определяющий научно-практическую целесообразность геоморфологической схематизации, в рамках которой становится возможным построение их экологического профиля в пространственно-временном масштабе, что позволяет установить тенденции развития познавательной и преобразующей деятельности, определяющие направленность и интенсивность антропогенной деятельности в регионе. Основная природная функция речного бассейна - формирование гидрогеохимического потока, имеющих один объект для своей разгрузки, определяет качественное состояние экологического профиля водосбора бассейна реки Шу, то есть в зависимости от поясности от горного класса ландшафтов до равнинного класса ландшафтов (супераквиальная и субаквиальная фация) постепенно нарушаются природные гидрологические ритмы, оказывает влияние на гидрогеологические и почвенномелиоративные процессы и экологические обстановки, особенно в низовьях речных бассейнов, являющихся зонами магазинирования возвратных (коллекторно-дренажных и сточных) вод промышленных и сельскохозяйственных объектов.

Ключевые слова: река, водосбор, бассейн, сток, система, модель, функция, деятельность, преобразования, познание, экология, профиль, схематизация, анализ, оценка.

Information about authors:

Kozykeyeva Aliya Tobazhanovna, Doctor of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, Professor of the Department «Water Resources and Melioration», Kazakh National Agrarian University; aliya.kt@yandex.ru; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0581-0881

Mustafayev Zhumakhan Suleimenovich, Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Professor of the Department «Water Resources and Melioration», Kazakh National Agrarian University; z-mustafa@rambler.ru; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2425-8148

Kireicheva Lyudmila Vladimirovna, Scientific adviser on land reclamation, FGBICU «All-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and Land Reclamation named after A.N. Kostyakov», Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor; kireychevalw@mail.ru; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7114-2706

Dauletbay Saltanat Dauletbaykyzy, Taraz State University named after M.Kh. Dulati, Master, dauletbai-sal@mail.ru; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5376-9468

REFERENCES

- [1] Mustafayev Zh.S. Methodological and ecological principles of agricultural land reclamation. Taraz, 2004. 306 p.
- [2] Mustafayev Zh.S., Kozykeyeva A.T. The Aral Sea Basin: Past, Present and Future. Taraz, 2012. 318 p.
- [3] Kireicheva L.V., Kozykeyeva A.T., Dauletbai S.D. Complex development of the Shu river Saarbrucken: Lambert Academy Publishing, 2016. 149 p.
- [4] Khachaturyan V.Kh. Assessment of the ecological situation in the justification of reconstruction projects // Melioration and water management, 1990. N 3. P. 17-21.
- [5] Khachaturyan V.Kh. Justification of agricultural land reclamation from an ecological point of view // Bulletin of agricultural science, 1990. N 5. P. 43-48.
- [6] Adilbektegi G.A., Mustafayev J.S., Uvatayeva T.K., Dulatbekova Z.N., Jozef Mosiej. A new approach to the evaluation of bioclimatic potential of landscapes on the example of northern Kazakhstan // N e w s of the National Academy of sciences of the republic of Kazakhstan series of geology and technical sciences. ISSN 2224-5278. Vol. 5, N 437 (2019). P. 16-25 (in Eng.).
- [7] Adilbektegi G. A., Mustafayev J. S., Uvatayeva T. K., Dulatbekova Z. N., JozefMosiej. Quantitative and qualitative assessment of biological and ecological potential of the landscapes of southern Kazakhstan // N e w s of the National Academy of sciences of the republic of Kazakhstan series of geology and technical sciences. ISSN 2224-5278. Vol. 6, N 438 (2019). P. 96-103 (in Eng.).
- [8] Mustafayev Zh. S., Kozykeyeva A. T., Kalmashova A. N., Aldiyarova A. E., Arvydas Povilaitis. Ecological and water economic assessment of the Yesil river basin catchment area // N e w s of the National Academy of sciences of the republic of Kazakhstan series of geology and technical sciences. ISSN 2224-5278. Vol. 2, N 440 (2020). P. 123-131.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the described work has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture academic thesis electronic preprint, or or as an see http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyrightholder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the Cross Check originality detection service http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

www:nauka-nanrk.kz

ISSN 2518-170X (Online), ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/

Редакторы М. С. Ахметова, Д. С. Аленов, А. Ахметова Верстка Д. А. Абдрахимовой

Подписано в печать 01.02.2021. Формат 70х881/8. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф. 12,75 п.л. Тираж 300. Заказ 1.